UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT W. D. OF WASHINGTON AT TACOMA

| | | • |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, | |
| 2 | Plaintiff, | Case No. MJ11-5199 |
| _ | v. | DETENTION ORDER |
| 3 | JOEL VALENCIA ROSAS, | |
| 4 | Defendant. | |
| | | |
| 5 | THE COURT having conducted a detention hearing i | pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §3142, finds that no condition or combination of |
| 6 | | |
| | other person and the community. | |
| 7 | This finding is based on 1) the nature and sireumstone | one of the offence (s) showed including whether the offence is a grime |
| 8 | This finding is based on 1) the nature and circumstances of the offense(s) charged, including whether the offense is a crime of violence or involves a narcotic drug; 2) the weight of the evidence against the person; 3) the history and characteristics of the | |
| 0 | person including those set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g)(3)(A)(B); and 4) the nature and seriousness of the danger release would impos | |
| 9 | to any person or the community. | |
| 10 | Findings of Fact/ State | ment of Reasons for Detention |
| 10 | Findings of Fact/ Statement of Reasons for Detention Presumptive Reasons/Unrebutted: | |
| 11 | | |
| | () Potential maximum sentence of life imprisonment or death. 18 U.S.C.§3142(f)(B) | |
| 12 | (X) Potential maximum sentence of 10+ years as prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C.§801 et seq.), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C.§951 et seq.) Or the Maritime Drug Law Enforcement Act (46 | |
| 13 | U.S.C. App. 1901 et seq.) | 5.C. \$951 et seq.) Of the Maritime Drug Law Embreement Act (40 |
| 13 | () Convictions of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (A) through (C) of 18 U.S.C.§3142(f)(1) of two or more | |
| 14 | State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in said subparagraphs if a circumstance giving rise to | |
| | Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of s | such offenses. |
| 15 | Safety Reasons: | |
| 16 | () Defendant is currently on probation/supervision resulting from a prior offense. | |
| | () Defendant was on bond on other charges at time of alleged occurrences herein. | |
| 17 | () Defendant's prior criminal history. | |
| 18 | Flight Risk/Appearance Reasons: | |
| | () Defendant's lack of sufficient ties to the community. | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | () Detainer(s)/Warrant(s) from other jurisdictions. () Failures to appear for past court proceedings. | |
| 20 | () Past conviction for escape. | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | Other: (Y) Defendant stimulated to detention without projudice of | nd for reasons contained in the Covernment's Motion for Detention |
| 22 | (X) Defendant stipulated to detention without prejudice a | nd for reasons contained in the Government's Motion for Detention. |
| 23 | Order of Detention without Prejudice | |
| | | A. C. 16 (t) (1) (1) (1) |
| 24 | <u> </u> | e Attorney General for confinement in a corrections facility separate, |
| 25 | to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded reasonable opportunity for private consultation with counsel. | |
| | == | States or on request of an attorney for the Government, be delivered |
| 26 | to a United States marshal for the purpose of an appea | arance in connection with a court proceeding. |
| 27 | October 4, 2011. | |
| 28 | _s/Karen L. Str | rombom |
| 20 | | oom, U.S. Magistrate Judge |
| | | |
| | DETENTION ORDER | |

Page - 1